Brown Marmorated Stink Bug Working Group Priorities

June 20-21, 2011

Submitted by:

Dr. Tracy Leskey

PI, Brown Marmorated Stink Bug Working Group Research Entomologist USDA-ARS Appalachian Fruit Research Station 2217 Wiltshire Road Kearneysville WV 25430-2771 USA

TEL: 304-725-3451 x329 FAX: 304-728-2340

EMAIL: tracy.leskey@ars.usda.gov

Dr. George Hamilton

Co-PI, Brown Marmorated Stink Bug Working Group Extension Specialist in Pest Management Professor of Entomology and Chair Department of Entomology 93 Lipman Drive Rutgers University New Brunswick, NJ 08901 TEL: 732-932-9774

PEST MANAGEMENT OFFICE: 732-932-9801

FAX: 732-932-9751

EMAIL: hamilton@NJAES.rutgers.edu

Research Priorities

Rank	Research Priority	Mean Score	# Responders
1	Studies of basic BMSB behavior (host preferences, movement, responses to cues)	4.47	36
2	Identification of true pheromone	5.33	36
3	Studies of basic BMSB biology (physiology,	7.44	36
	generations)		
4	Biocontrol agentsidentification and study of parasitoids, fungal pathogens, and predators (native and foreign)	8.52	36
5	Host utilization, preference, and range	9.82	37
6	Defining damage diagnostics and economics of injury	10.44	36
7	Crop susceptibility and timing	10.55	36
8	Development of IPM-friendly management tactics	11.74	35
9	Standardize methods for insecticide trials and conduct screenings of existing materials	11.76	34
10	Insecticide toxicity screening	11.80	36
11	Standardized sampling methods	12.02	35
12	Impact of landscape and habitat on population	12.55	36
13	Investigation of host-plant volatiles as attractants	13.74	35
14	Identification of potential repellents	14.72	36
15	Evaluation of parasitoid host specificity	15.02	36
16	Examination of potential for trap-cropping	15.41	36
17	Evaluate effects of BMSB management plans on beneficial agents, including pollinators	17.09	34
18	Evaluate potential impacts of cultural control measures	18.14	35
19	Assess secondary pest outbreaks related to chemical control of BMSB	18.25	36
20	Mapping and assessment of distribution	18.83	36
21	Evaluate landscape-level/watershed-scale population distribution	19.27	36
22	Translation and synopsis of research to date from Asia	20.19	32
23	Study potential for damage of harvested/value-added crops by contamination with BMSB	20.26	35
24	Generate methods and baseline for evaluation of resistance development	20.52	36
25	Determining monitoring strategies for urban areas	21.25	35
26	Evaluate impact of orchard groundcover	22.46	35

	management		
27	Assessment of economic impact in urban	23.17	35
	environment		
28	Examination of cross-attractancy of BMSB and	24.39	33
	green stink bugs		
29	Evaluate potential impact of vertebrate predation	25.44	34
30	Assessment of displacement of native stink bugs	27.82	33

Extension Priorities

Rank	Extension Priority	Mean	#
		Score	Responders
1	Education programs to growers and the general	4.17	35
	public		
2	Coordinate efforts of state and regional extension	5.40	35
	programs		
3	Develop revised and unified management plans	6.28	35
4	Educating professionals to pest ID and diagnosis of	7.08	35
	injury		
5	Evaluation of field application techniques for	7.11	35
	chemical control		
6	Develop economic injury thresholds	7.68	35
7	Educational programming for structural and	8.97	35
	landscape industries		
8	Evaluate efficacy of insecticides/killing agents for	9.91	35
	homeowners		
9	Develop treatment recommendations and	9.97	35
	guidelines for urban environments		
10	Initiate an eXtension community of practice (COP),	10.25	31
	potentially as a central website for information		
	dissemination		
11	Evaluate materials for home-garden and home-	10.45	35
	landscape protection		
12	Education programs relevant to development of	10.77	35
	biological control projects		
13	Extension outreach and education programming	11.00	35
	for urban environment/homeowners		
14	Structure extension groups by commodity or	11.12	34
	region		
15	Initiate public awareness campaigns - posters,	11.58	34
	public service announcements, educational		
	materials, etc.		
16	Raise awareness of importance of BMSB as pest -	14.63	22
<u> </u>	APHIS, local political channels, etc.		
17	Direct homeowners to local politicians for	15.96	33
	complaints		

Regulatory Priorities

Rank	Regulatory Priority	Mean	#
		Score	Responders
1	Expand use of existing registered products	1.94	34
2	Coordinate inter-agency and interdisciplinary	2.39	33
	funding		
3	Product testing and labeling of new active	2.44	34
	ingredients/products		
4	Define the economic and ecological threat	3.11	19