

## Northeastern IPM Center

### Cranberry Fruit Rot Working Group

---

#### RANKING OF NEEDS AND PRIORITIES

After discussing all research topics and needs in our first working group meeting held in August 26, 2015 in Bandon, OR; attendees classified each item as a high, medium, and low priority. A final list was generated based on majority votes assigning each topic into a major category (i.e., high, medium, or low). Percentages represent the total votes submitted by 19 attendees.

<b>Fruit Quality</b>	<b>HIGH</b>
Identify preharvest factors that affect fruit firmness Re-examine and test storage quality forecast models. Harvesting and storage technology for improving quality Preharvest parameters that affect quality Storage quality prior to freezing and impact on SDC quality Investigate physiological breakdown	72%
<b>Biology of fruit rot fungi</b>	<b>HIGH</b>
Understand life cycles of individual fruit rot fungi Develop tailored recommendations for each fruit rot sp. Timing of infection and biology of fungi Develop and apply improved identification methods Monitor for development of fungicide resistance Incorporate weather data into investigation of disease cycles Population biology and population shifts Fungal infection and fruit skin integrity	67%
<b>Infrastructure</b>	<b>HIGH</b>
Database to share regional research results Electronic communication and media for disease management recommendations, updates, and extension outputs MRL communication and updates shared across regions Coordinated regional registration of chemical products including Canada	41%

Improving chemical control efficacy	MEDIUM
<p>Screen new fungicides and fungicide programs for efficacy</p> <p>Emphasize on testing novel modes of action</p> <p>Implementation of new controls in fruit rot spraying schedule</p> <p>Low risk fungicides and MRL compliance</p> <p>Research and discover novel biological control methods</p> <p>Investigate impact of fungicides on fruit quality parameters</p>	
<b>Application methods</b>	
<p>New application methods</p> <p>Improve methods for evaluating existing application systems</p> <p>Can UAS be used for fungicide applications?</p>	61%
<b>Fungicide alternatives</b>	
<p>Bio control</p> <p>Synergism of chemicals</p> <p>Delivery methods for fungicide applications</p> <p>Stickers and adjuvants</p>	
Cultural control practices	MEDIUM
<p>Canopy management. Can the canopy be optimized for reducing heat stress to fruit?</p> <p>Canopy cooling. Can irrigation for cooling be optimized for reducing or managing fruit rot?</p> <p>Cultural practices to reduce inoculum pressure</p> <p>Determine impact of trash flood, sanding, mowing, and pruning practices on fruit rot</p> <p>Reduced irrigation and sub-irrigation methods</p> <p>Innovative floods to enhance fruit rot control</p>	61%
Plant resistance	MEDIUM
<p>Develop methods for evaluating host resistance on individual plants to improve throughput of fruit rot resistance screening</p> <p>Genomic approaches for resistance breeding</p> <p>Changes in plant phenology and impact on disease expression</p>	50%
Climate Change	LOW
<p>Develop models to predict fruit rot in areas which typically don't have fruit rot losses</p> <p>Examine the need for berry cooling and best practices for reducing over heating</p> <p>Study extreme and isolated weather events (e.g., El Niño, tropical storms, drought, etc.).</p>	44%